

We translate problems of Binary Aggregation with Integrity Constraints (a model for Judgment Aggregation, where agents have binary opinions over issues and use aggregation procedures to reach a collective decision on them) into Dynamic Logic of Propositional Assignments (an instance of Propositional Dynamic Logic, where atomic programs change the truth value of variables). We focus on aggregation rules, properties of rules known as axioms, and the safety of the agenda problem.



Dynamic Logic of Propositional Assignments

Atomic program +p(-p) makes propositional variable p true (false).



of m issues to reach a collective decision on them.

Language

 $A \text{ profile } \boldsymbol{B} \begin{cases} 1 & 2 & \dots & m & \Leftarrow \text{ issues} \\ B_1 & b_{11}^0 & b_{12}^1 & \dots & b_{1m}^0 \\ B_2 & b_{21}^1 & b_{22}^1 & \dots & b_{2m}^0 \\ B_i & \dots & \dots & b_{ij}^1 & \dots & \Leftarrow \text{ individual ballot of agent } i & \text{ for} \\ B_n & b_{n1}^0 & b_{n2}^0 & \dots & b_{nm}^1 \\ F(\boldsymbol{B}) \{(b_1^0 & b_2^1 & \dots & b_m^1), \dots\} \notin \text{ output of aggregation rule} \end{cases} + \text{so}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{formulas} & \varphi ::= p \mid \top \mid \perp \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid \langle \pi \rangle \varphi \\ \mbox{programs} & \pi ::= +p \mid -p \mid \pi ; \pi \mid \pi \cup \pi \mid \pi^* \mid \varphi ? \end{array}$ for p in a countable set of propositional variables \mathbb{P} .

+ some *abbreviations* for programs ("if φ then π_1 else π_2 ", ...)

Interpretation

formulas	set of <i>valuations</i> where the formula is true
programs	set of <i>pairs of valuations</i> such that you can go from
	the first to the second via the execution of the program

Translation of Judgment Aggregation into DL-PA

- sets of variables $\mathbb{B}^{n,m}$ and \mathbb{O}^m for *input* and *output* of rules
- valuation $v_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ for the *values* of the input profile, such that

 $p_{ij} \in v_{\boldsymbol{B}} \iff b_{ij} = 1$

The *integrity constraint* IC is a propositional formula relating issues

The aggregation rule is a function $F : Mod(IC)^n \to \mathcal{P}(\{0,1\}^m) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$

The *models of* IC Mod(IC) is a set of all ballots making IC true

and valuation v' for the *values* of the output of F



- program $f(\mathbb{B}^{n,m})$ to translate *rule* F
- formula IC written with variables in \mathbb{O}^m for the *constraint*

Aggregation Rules

- Aggregation rules \Rightarrow DL-PA *programs* (ensuring *correctness* of translation)
- Simple rules: dictatorship, majority, quota, ...

Dictatorship of agent i

BA | Dictatorship_i(**B**) = B_i, for all **B** DL-PA | dictatorship_i($\mathbb{B}^{n,m}$) = ;_{1 \le j \le m}($p_j \leftarrow p_{ij}$)

Max/Min rules: max. subagenda, min. number of atomic changes
Preference aggregation rules: Kemeny, Slater, ...

A graphical representation of the translation into DL-PA.

Axioms

Axioms \Rightarrow DL-PA *formulas* (ensuring *correctness* of translation)

Single-profile: the outcome of F linked to structure of a single profile.
unanimity, issue-neutrality, domain-neutrality, N-monotonicity
⇒ propositional logic

Unanimity

BA | U = For any **B**, for all issues j and for $x \in \{0, 1\}$, if $b_{ij} = x$ for all agents i then $F(\mathbf{B})_j = x$ DL-PA | U = $\bigwedge_{1 \le j \le m} \left(((\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} p_{ij}) \to p_j) \land ((\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \neg p_{ij}) \to \neg p_j) \right)$

Multi-profile: two outcomes of F linked to structures of multiple profiles.
independence, I-monotonicity, anonimity
⇒ DL-PA



• **Representative voter rules:** average voter, majority voter, . . .

Future Directions

Agenda Safety

IC properties \Rightarrow DL-PA *formulas* (ensuring *correctness* of translation)

median property
simplified median property
k-median property
syntactic simplified median property

IC properties linked to classes of rules whose outcomes will always satisfy IC.

What about other rules, axioms, IC properties?
What about the existing translation of DL-PA into propositional logic?
It could be used for automated reasoning with SAT-solvers.
What about other areas of Judgment Aggregation?

A. Novaro. Judgment Aggregation in Dynamic Logic of Propositional Assignments. Master Thesis, ILLC, University of Amsterdam, 2016.

Image: © of Inter IKEA Systems B.V.